IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1075 /2004

PETITIONER

Manohar Jethani, aged about 38 years, son of Late Dr. Variyal Das jethani, R/o. Near Kohinoor Hotel, Amardeep Talkies Road, Ganesh Nagar, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

P. R. No. 11.9.04
Presented by Shri Vinod Lal Chandani
dated 13.9.04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through : the Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare, Mantralay, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

2. The Registrar-Cum-Secretary, Chhattisgarh State Pharmacy council, Noorani Chowk, Raja Talab, First Floor, Ayurvedic Hospital Compound, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

3. Pharmacy Council of India, Combined Councils Building, Kotla Road Aiwah – E- Ghalid Marg, New Delhi – 110002

13/9/04

Received Copy
13.9.04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

(2) (4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(133)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 1075/2004 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	09.05.2005 Shri Y.C. Sharma, Advocate with Shri Verendra Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No. 1. Shri Prashant Mishra, Sr. Advocate with Shri Sameer Behar, Advocate for respondent No. 2. Shri Vinay Harit, Sr. Advocate with Shri Ashok Swarnkar, Advocate for respondent No. 3. Shri R.K. Kesharwai, counsel for the intervener. It is stated through I.A. No. 9761/2004 that in the meeting of Pharmacy Council held on 13.12.2004, a resolution has been passed to the effect that in view of the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble High Court of Jharkhand, the Chhattisgarh State Pharmacist council has decided that there is no requirement of preparation of first register in the State of Chhattisgarh and that all such certificate holders who are resident of the State of Chhattisgarh and are working herein shall be registered and if they are found eligible according to Rules, they will be enlisted. It is also stated that other registration shall be done under Section 32 (2) of the Act, 1948. It is further stated that as regards the election to elect six members under Section 19 (a) of the Pharmacy Act 1948, it has been resolved that after preparation of list, as above, election shall be conducted from amongst the newly prepared list. With	

(पीछे देखिये)

(134)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 1075/2004 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

this statement (Vide para-2 of I.A. No. 9761/2004), a copy of resolution dated 13.12.2004 has been filed as Annexure-D/1.

In view of this statement of respondent No. 2 and further in view of document (Annexure-D/1), learned counsel for the petitioner prays for disposal of this petition on the above statement made at bar. Since resolution has already been passed, this petition stands finally disposed of at this stage. It is expected that necessary consequences of the resolution shall be followed by the concerned authority in accordance with law.

A copy of this order be placed in the records of W.P. No. 1082/2004 and W.P. No. 1060/2004.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Vatti